

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In re Applications of)	
)	
CALIFORNIA WIRELESS, INC.)	File Nos. 0000101622, 0000101628,
)	0000101646, and 0000101817
For Renewal and Modification of Paging and)	
Radiotelephone Service Stations KNKI691,)	
KNKG857, KNKM824, and KNKO310)	
)	
)	

ORDER

Adopted: August 4, 2000

Released: August 7, 2000

By the Chief, Commercial Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau:

1. Pursuant to section 1.46(c) of the Commission's rules,¹ we have received three motions for extension of time in which to file pleadings in the above-captioned proceeding. California Wireless, Inc. (CW) filed a Consent Request for Extension of Time (Consent Request) from May 25, 2000 until June 16, 2000 to file an Opposition to the Petition to Deny the captioned applications filed by Link Two Communications, Inc. (Link) on May 12, 2000. CW filed its Opposition to Petition to Deny (Opposition) on June 16, 2000. On June 27, 2000, Link filed a Consent Motion for Extension of Time (Consent Motion) from June 28, 2000 until July 28, 2000 to file a Reply to CW's Opposition. Finally, on July 28, 2000, Link filed a Motion for Extension of Time (Motion) requesting an additional two-week extension of the deadline until August 11, 2000, in which to file its Reply to CW's Opposition.

2. CW and Link both state that the extensions of time requested in the Consent Request and Consent Motion, respectively, were mutually consented to and necessary in order to pursue private settlement negotiations. Link argues that the further two-week extension requested in its Motion is needed because it received notice on July 27, 2000, that its formal settlement offer was rejected by CW. Link represents that the additional time is necessary to respond to the substance of CW's Opposition and maintains that grant of the requested extension will not result in prejudice to any party nor disrupt the orderliness of this proceeding.

3. We find that it is in the public interest to grant the extensions of time requested in CW's Consent Request and Link's Consent Motion. We also grant Link the additional two-week extension of time that it seeks in its Motion. We believe good cause has been shown and that the public interest will be served by developing a more comprehensive record in this proceeding. Therefore, we extend the date until August 11, 2000 for filing a Reply to CW's Opposition.

4. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to authority delegated by sections 4(i) and 303 of the Communications Act, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 154(i), 303, and by sections 0.331 and 1.46(c)

¹ 47 C.F.R. § 1.46(c).

Federal Communications Commission

of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.331, 1.46(c), California Wireless, Inc.'s Consent Request for Extension of Time is GRANTED.

5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to authority delegated by sections 4(i) and 303 of the Communications Act, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 154(i), 303, and by sections 0.331 and 1.46(c) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.331, 1.46(c), Link Two Communications, Inc.'s Consent Motion for Extension of Time is GRANTED.

6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to authority delegated by sections 4(i) and 303 of the Communications Act, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 154(i), 303, and by sections 0.331 and 1.46(c) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.331, 1.46(c), Link Two Communications, Inc.'s Motion for Extension of Time is GRANTED and the deadline for filing a Reply in the above-referenced proceeding is EXTENDED to August 11, 2000.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

William W. Kunze
Chief, Commercial Wireless Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau